
Citation:

Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou, A and Metsios, GS and Koutedakis, Y and Kitas, GD (2016) Body-size phenotypes and cardiometabolic risk in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Mediterranean Journal of Rheumatology*, 27 (2). pp. 34-40. ISSN 2459-3516

Link to Leeds Beckett Repository record:

<https://eprints.leedsbeckett.ac.uk/id/eprint/2957/>

Document Version:

Article (Published Version)

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

The aim of the Leeds Beckett Repository is to provide open access to our research, as required by funder policies and permitted by publishers and copyright law.

The Leeds Beckett repository holds a wide range of publications, each of which has been checked for copyright and the relevant embargo period has been applied by the Research Services team.

We operate on a standard take-down policy. If you are the author or publisher of an output and you would like it removed from the repository, please [contact us](#) and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

Each thesis in the repository has been cleared where necessary by the author for third party copyright. If you would like a thesis to be removed from the repository or believe there is an issue with copyright, please contact us on openaccess@leedsbeckett.ac.uk and we will investigate on a case-by-case basis.

ORIGINAL PAPER

Body-size phenotypes and cardiometabolic risk in Rheumatoid Arthritis

(Short Title: Subgroups of obesity in RA)

Antonios Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou, PhD^{1,2,3}, George S. Metsios, PhD^{3,4}, Yiannis Koutedakis, PhD^{1,2,4}, George D. Kitas, MD, FRCP, PhD^{3,5}

¹Department of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Thessaly, Trikala, Greece, ²Institute of Human Performance & Rehabilitation, Centre for Research and Technology Thessaly, Trikala, Greece, ³Department of Rheumatology, Dudley Group NHS Foundation Trust, Russell's Hall Hospital, Dudley, West Midlands, UK, ⁴School of Sport, Performing Arts & Leisure, Wolverhampton University, West Midlands, UK, ⁵Arthritis Research UK Epidemiology Unit, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Obesity is a significant contributor to metabolic complications. However, such complications are not uniform in people with similar body-size. The existence of normal-weight individuals with and obese individuals without metabolic complications has been described in the general population and is important in the context of cardiovascular disease (CVD). This has not been investigated in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), a condition associated with increased cardiometabolic risk. This study aims to identify the prevalence and predictors of body-size phenotypes in RA and investigate their associations with CVD risk. **Methods:** Body mass index (BMI: kg/m²), body fat (BF) and fat free mass (FFM), RA characteristics and CVD risk factors were assessed in 363 (262 female) volunteers with RA. Abnormal cardiometabolic status was defined as the presence of >1 of the following: hypertension, increased triglycerides or increased Low or reduced High Density Lipoprotein, high glucose, insulin resistance. **Results:** Among normal-weight, overweight, and obese participants, 25%, 45.8%, 57.1% respectively were metabolically abnormal. Old age (B= 1.032, err=0.011; p= 0.005), waist circumference (B= 1.057, err= 0.011; p= 0.000), and smoking cessation (B= 1.425, err= 0.169; p=0.036) were significant predictors for metabolic abnormality. **Conclusions:** A significant number of RA patients present with different body-size and metabolic phenotypes. Body Mass Index alone is not a sufficient indicator of cardiometabolic risk in RA; this may have significant implications in their CVD risk evaluation. Body fat distribution seems to be a significant contributor to such abnormalities. Further research is needed, focusing on the metabolic properties of specific adipose depots of RA patients.

Corresponding author:
Antonios Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou, PhD
Department of Physical Education
and Sports Science
University of Thessaly
Trikala-Karyes Road, Trikala,
42100, Greece
Tel./Fax: +30-2431-047-038
e-mail: antonios.stav@gmail.com

Mediterr J Rheumatol 2016; 27(2): 34-40

Keywords: body fat, adiposity, inflammation, disease activity, muscle mass

INTRODUCTION

Obesity associates with cardiometabolic abnormalities, such as reduced insulin sensitivity, hyperlipidaemia and hypertension, and leads to high risk for the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD). However, these abnormalities are not uniform within the various body-weight categories.^{1,2} Investigations in body-size phenotypes have revealed two distinct groups: the first exhibits high body mass index (BMI) and body fat (BF) but no cardiometabolic abnormalities; this is often termed “uncomplicated obesity or metabolically healthy obesity”.³ The second consists of normal-weight individuals who exhibit cardiometabolic abnormalities, and is known as “metabolically obese normal-weight”.^{4,5} Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA), the most common inflammatory arthritis, associates with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality⁶ and a high prevalence of obesity (>50% of RA patients).⁷ In these patients, obesity has been shown to protect against joint damage^{8,9} and CVD death¹⁰ while at the same time associates with increased disease activity,¹¹ presence of CVD risk factors¹² and reduced quality of life.¹³ The reasons for such seemingly conflicting findings in the existing literature is not clear.¹⁴ The different body-size phenotypes might partly explain this discrepancy. The aims of the present study were: 1) to estimate the prevalence of body-size phenotypes among patients with RA; 2) to investigate the associations of phenotypes with disease characteristics, inflammation, and body composition; and 3) to identify predictors of metabolic abnormalities within the various phenotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Patients from the Dudley Rheumatoid Arthritis Co-morbidity Cohort (DRACCO) were included in this study. The project had Research Ethics Committee approval from the Black Country Ethics Committee and patients provided informed consent prior to participation. Patient characteristics have been previously described in detail.¹⁵ Out of the 400 patients in the cohort, 363 (262 female) had complete assessments for BMI, glucose, insulin sensitivity, hypertension, and blood lipids; these patients were included in the analyses.

Definition of body-size phenotypes

For this study, we have adopted the definition of Wildman et al.¹ for the classification of body size phenotypes, which is currently considered as the optimum set of criteria for this. It classifies body size phenotypes based on BMI (normal-weight: BMI<25kg/m², over-weight: BMI<25-29.9kg/m², obese: BMI>30kg/m²) and the presence of cardiometabolic abnormalities (high blood pressure, high triglycerides, low levels of high density lipoprotein-HDL, high fasting glucose, and insulin resistance). Patients with 0 or 1 cardiometabolic abnormali-

ties were considered metabolically healthy, while patients with 2 or more were considered metabolically abnormal (**Table 1**). The use of 2 rather than 3 cardiometabolic abnormalities (as in the definition of the metabolic syndrome) allows for earlier identification of patients potentially at risk for developing CVD.¹ Due to the systemic inflammation associated with RA, we decided not to include C-reactive protein (CRP) as a cardiometabolic abnormality, since almost 100% of our participants would be positive for this. All patient characteristics according to phenotype allocation are presented in **Table 2**.

Assessments

All assessments have been previously described in detail.¹⁵ Briefly, standing height and weight were assessed and BMI was calculated (kg/m²); waist circumference was also assessed. Cut-offs for increased waist circumference were >102cm for males or >88cm for females.¹⁶ Body composition (body fat and fat free mass) was assessed by Bioelectrical Impedance (Tanita BC-418 MA Segmental Body Composition Analyzer, Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Inflammation was assessed by the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and CRP. The Disease Activity Score-28 (DAS28) was used to assess clinical disease activity.¹⁷ The anglicised version of the Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)¹⁸ was used to measure functional disability. Disease duration and RA medication were assessed by review of patients' clinical notes.

Blood pressure (BP) was assessed as previously described.¹⁵ Briefly, BP was assessed, after at least 5min rest, on the right arm with the patient in a seated position. Reported value is the mean of three measurements taken at 5min intervals. Blood lipids, glucose and insulin were assessed in venous blood collected in the fasting state. Insulin sensitivity was evaluated with the Homeostasis Model Assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA = [Glucose x Insulin]/22.5)¹⁹ and the Quantitative Insulin sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI = 1/[logInsulin+logGlucose]).²⁰ Smoking status was recorded by patient self-report.

Data Management and Analyses

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality was used to assess dispersion of the data. Dispersion is reported as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range). Results of the logistic models are reported as odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals (OR, 95% CI). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

The frequency of occurrence of each phenotype was examined in the descriptive statistics of the sample. Cross-tabulations with χ^2 analyses were performed to identify differences in the prevalence of cardiometabolic abnormalities between body sizes. Analysis of

Table 1. Body-size phenotype grouping criteria

Groups	Criteria
Normal weight, metabolically healthy	BMI<25.0 <2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Normal weight, metabolically unhealthy	BMI<25.0 ≥2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Overweight, metabolically healthy	BMI 25.0-29.9 <2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Overweight, metabolically unhealthy	BMI 25.0-29.9 ≥2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Obese, metabolically healthy	BMI≥30.0 <2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Obese, metabolically unhealthy	BMI≥30.0 ≥2 cardiometabolic abnormalities
Cardiometabolic abnormalities	
1) High blood pressure: ≥140mmHg systolic, or ≥90mmHg, or on anti-hypertensive medication	
2) High triglycerides: >1.7mmol/L	
3) Low HDL (high density lipoprotein): <1.03mmol/L	
4) High glucose: >5.5mmol/L	
5) Insulin Resistance: HOMA≥2.5, or QUICKI≤0.333, or diagnosis for diabetes, or anti-diabetic medication	

Variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences between the various phenotypes in disease characteristics and inflammation. Finally, regression analyses were used to identify predictors of dysmetabolism (i.e., presence of 2 or more out of 5 metabolic abnormalities as defined above) among the various phenotypes. The initial models included the following as covariates: age, gender, smoking, BMI, body fat (BF), waist circumference, ESR, RA duration, RA medication (NSAIDs, methotrexate, steroids, and biologics). Variables with the least contribution to the model were eliminated until only significant variables remained.

RESULTS

Prevalence of body-size phenotypes in RA

In the total sample, 44% of the patients were metabolically unhealthy (i.e., had ≥2 cardiometabolic abnormalities). The prevalence of metabolic abnormality was 54.5% for males and 40.5% for females ($p=0.011$). Among normal-weight, 25% were metabolically unhealthy. Gender specific prevalence was 36.8% for males and 22.2% for females. In overweight patients, 45.8% had metabolic complications, 56% of males and 40.8% of females. Finally, 57.1% of obese individuals were metabolically unhealthy. Again, male participants had a higher prevalence of metabolic abnormalities (62.5%) compared to females (55.2%).

Association of phenotypes with disease characteristics, inflammation, and body composition

Patients with metabolic abnormalities were compared to metabolically healthy patients within the same BMI categories. No statistically significant differences between groups were detected by ANOVA for any of the disease characteristics or markers of inflammation assessed (**Table 2**). However, patients with metabolic abnormalities tended to score slightly higher in all of these assessments.

Similarly, no statistically significant differences for measures of body composition were found between groups, apart from normal-weight patients, where metabolically healthy patients had significantly smaller waist circumference compared to metabolically unhealthy patients ($84\text{cm} \pm 7.5$ vs. $90.5\text{cm} \pm 8.5$ respectively; $p=0.007$). Moreover, there was a tendency for higher waist circumference in all metabolically abnormal patients compared to their metabolically healthy counterparts.

Predictors of metabolic abnormalities

Among the various different models used, regression analyses identified 3 significant predictors for metabolically unhealthy patients among all body sizes: older age ($B=1.032$, $\text{err}=0.011$; $p=0.005$), ex-smoker ($B=1.425$, $\text{err}=0.169$; $p=0.036$), and larger waist circumference ($B=1.057$, $\text{err}=0.011$; $p=0.000$).

Table 2. Participants' characteristics by body-size phenotype

	Total	Metabolically Healthy			Metabolically Abnormal		
		Normal Weight	Over-weight	Obese	Normal Weight	Over-weight	Obese
Prevalence (% , N)	100 (362)	18.7 (68)	22.9 (83)	14 (51)	6.3 (23)	19.3 (70)	18.7 (68)
Demographics							
Female (%)	72.2	80.4	73.5	76.5	71.6	65	70.6
Age, years	61 (12.1)	59.5 (13.5)	60.1 (12.4)	55.8 (11.3)	65.4 (12.7)	62.2 (10.5)	63.6 (10.7) [†]
Smoking (%)							
Current	16.9	25.4	18.3	4	17.4	20.9	11.9
Former	37.9	22.4	34.1	34	43.5	41.8	55.2
Adiposity							
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.2 (4.7)	22.6 (1.4)	27.1 (1.4)	33.2 (3.1)	23.1 (1.1)	27.1 (1.4)	34 (3.1)
Body Fat (%)	35.7 (8)	29.5 (7.1)	35.2 (6.8)	41.9 (6)	33.7 (5.6)	33.6 (7)	40.6 (7.2)
FFM (kg)	48.4 (10.3)	42.3 (8.6)	47.5 (9.7)	51.6 (9.1)	41.8 (6.9)	49.4 (10.1)	54.2 (10.5)
Waist (cm)	98.5 (12.5)	84 (7.5)	96.4 (6.3)	106.8 (10)	90.5 (8.5)*	99.3 (8.2)	111 (10.6)
Cardiometabolic Factors							
Systolic BP (mmHg)	141.7 (20.2)	131.1 (18.4)	139.2 (18.4)	138.6 (21.8)	143.1 (16.2)	145.1 (19.2)	152.3 (19.8) [†]
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	79 (11.3)	75.1 (11.1)	79.7 (9.9)	79.3 (10.9)	77.2 (13.7)	80.5 (11.4)	81 (11.6)
Hypertension (%)	69.7	32.4	63.9	52.9	95.7*	92.9 [#]	94.1 [†]
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.4 (0.7)	1.2 (0.4)	1.2 (0.4)	1.1 (0.3)	1.6 (0.6)	1.8 (0.9) [#]	1.8 (0.8) [†]
High Triglycerides (%)	30	7.4	6	5.9	52.2*	50 [#]	60.3 [†]
HDL (mmol/L)	1.6 (0.4)	1.7 (0.5)	1.7 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	1.7 (0.4)	1.4 (0.5) [#]	1.4 (0.4) [†]
Low HDL (%)	9.6	2.9	0	3.9	8.7*	24.3 [#]	17.6 [†]
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.2 (1.4)	4.8 (0.1)	4.7 (0.4)	4.9 (0.4)	5.6 (0.3)	5.8 (1.5)	5.7 (1.5)
High Glucose (%)	17.6	1.5	1.2	5.9*	21.7*	32.9 [#]	45.6 [†]
HOMA	1.9 [2]	1.2 [1]	1.5 [1.1]	1.6 [1]	2.5 [2.7]*	3.3 [3.8] [#]	3.5 [3.6] [†]
QUICKI	0.35 (0.05)	0.38 (0.04)	0.37 (0.03)	0.37 (0.03)	0.33 (0.04)*	0.32 (0.04) [#]	0.31 (0.03) [†]
Insulin resistance (%)	38	8.8	6	7.8	69.6*	71.4 [#]	83.8 [†]
RA characteristics							
Disease Duration (years)	12.5 (10.5)	13.3 (10.1)	13.2 (10.7)	10.1 (7.3)	13.4 (10.9)	13.3 (10.6)	11.7 (10)
ESR (mm/H)	20 [28]	16 [30]	18 [25]	22 [22]	19 [22]	22.5 [22]	24.5 [30]
CRP (mg/L)	8 [15]	7 [13]	8 [10]	11 [15]	8.5 [20]	9 [17]	10.5 [19]
HAQ	1.4 (0.9)	1.3 (0.9)	1.3 (0.9)	1.4 (0.9)	1.4 (0.9)	1.5 (0.95)	1.6 (0.87)
DAS	4.2 (1.4)	3.9 (1.4)	4.1 (1.3)	4.2 (1.4)	4.4 (1.6)	4.3 (1.3)	4.4 (1.5)

* Significantly different to metabolically healthy normal weight (p<0.05)

[#] Significantly different to metabolically healthy overweight (p<0.05)[†] Significantly different to metabolically healthy obese (p<0.05)

BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; CRP: C-reactive protein; DAS: disease activity score 28; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FFM: fat free mass; HAQ: health assessment questionnaire; HDL: high density lipoprotein; HOMA: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL: low density lipoprotein; N: number; QUICKI: quantitative insulin sensitivity check index.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of metabolic complications in different body-size phenotypes in RA patients, to test their associations with disease activity, and to identify potential predictors of such metabolic complications in these phenotypes. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate this in RA.

We were able to identify 6 different body-size phenotypes among patients with RA. Most importantly, we identified several normal-weight patients with metabolic abnormalities as well as overweight and obese patients without any such abnormalities. In the general population,¹ the prevalence of normal-weight individuals with metabolic abnormalities is very similar to that reported here for RA (23.5% vs 25%, respectively). This is also the case for overweight patients (51.3% vs 45.8%). However, there seems to be a large difference in the percentage of obese patients with RA that exhibit cardiometabolic complications compared to the general population: in our RA population, 57.1% of the obese participants had such complications, compared to almost 70% reported in the general population. We need to note that a large percentage of our population is female (>60%) and, as we have shown in our results, females tend to have less metabolic complications compared to males (55.2% vs 62.5% respectively in our sample). In a sample with more male participants, prevalence of metabolic complications could be higher but still, based on our findings, lower than that of the general population.

In the present study, we used the general BMI categorisation instead of our previously published RA specific BMI cut-off points (i.e., 23kg/m² and 28kg/m² for overweight and obesity respectively),⁷ so that our findings can be directly comparable to that of the existing literature in other populations. However, the use of RA-specific BMI cut-off points yields similar results for the subgroups (i.e., 22.4%, 48.9%, 60.1% among normal-weight, overweight and obese exhibit metabolic complications). Thus, the use of these cut-off points does not change the essence of our findings.

In the existing literature, a protective effect of obesity in RA, both in terms of disease activity^{8,9} and cardiovascular risk¹⁰ has been reported. Our data, similarly to observations in the general population,²¹ does not support this: metabolic complications are more prevalent in obese than in overweight or normal-weight patients. However, they do support the notion that obesity may have a lesser impact - at least in terms of metabolic complications - in RA, compared to the general population.²² In general, obese individuals exhibit, in absolute terms, larger fat-free mass compared to leaner individuals. In RA, loss of fat-free mass, and especially muscle mass, is very common²³ and is mostly observed

in normal-weight patients, while excessive body weight seems to be accompanied by a relative preservation of fat-free mass.²⁴ Since the muscle is where most of the metabolic activity occurs, increased muscle mass may counteract some of the negative effects of obesity and help some obese RA patients maintain a healthier metabolism than their counterparts in the general population.

In the present study, we were unable to find any significant differences in disease characteristics and inflammation between body-size phenotypes. However, metabolically healthy patients tended to have smaller waist circumference compared to metabolically unhealthy. Central adiposity is a well-established risk factor for CVD and its assessment is included in several of the definitions for the metabolic syndrome.²⁵ Excess abdominal adiposity is considered to be one of the main causes of metabolic complications; enlarged adipose tissue secretes a number of bioactive molecules called adipokines.²⁶ These participate in a number of metabolic processes which can inhibit the expression of several genes (such as GLUT4 and PPAR γ) associated with transportation of glucose or production and sensitisation of insulin; they also promote lipolysis and thus increase the levels of circulating free fatty acids, while they may also act directly on insulin signalling.²⁷ These mechanisms may reduce insulin action in the muscle, and cause endothelial dysfunction,^{28,29} hypertension,³⁰ and eventually CVD.³¹ The significance of central adiposity is further supported by the fact that body fat percentages were very similar between body-weight phenotypes; indicating that overall fat may be a lesser factor for the development of metabolic complications than body fat distribution. Moreover, the gender differences initially observed became insignificant when waist circumference was introduced in the models, as is indeed the case in the general population.¹ These observations highlight the importance for the assessment of central adiposity.

In addition to waist circumference, smoking cessation was identified as a significant predictor for metabolic complications. We have shown previously³² that within RA, ex-smokers exhibit high body fat content and increased waist-circumference; both of which may contribute to metabolic complications. However, the fact that ex-smoking was an independent predictor of metabolic complication may indicate the existence of other mechanisms. Finally, old age was also an independent predictor of metabolic complications. Aging associates with a number of significant changes, including anthropometric and lifestyle alterations, neuro-hormonal variations, and increases in oxidative stress; resulting in reduced insulin sensitivity^{33,34} which is implicated in a number of mechanisms leading to CVD.³⁵ This is also evident among our patients: metabolically healthy pa-

tients were significantly younger than their metabolically unhealthy counterparts.

This study proves that the assessment of obesity, in terms of BMI alone, is not a sufficient indicator of metabolic state.³⁶ This might partly explain the conflicting evidence for the impact of obesity on the health of RA patients. Studies investigating obesity in RA should try to stratify patients according to body-size phenotypes and analyse their data accordingly. Furthermore, the identified predictors of subtype allocation (i.e., older age, higher waist circumference, and smoking status) should be systematically included as potential confounders in analyses of such studies. Essentially, our findings point towards a combination of assessments for obesity. However, further studies are needed before we can propose specific methods for that purpose.

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution. This is a cross-sectional study and results do not provide definitive evidence for causality or directionality. Furthermore, comparisons with the general population are based on the existing literature and not directly on a local control group. Race, physical activity and diet - all significant aspects in the study of obesity - were not assessed. On the other hand, the size of the cohort and the prospective collection of data in a standardised, systematic manner are important strengths, as they minimised missing values and selection bias, and allowed adjustment for potentially important confounders. The method of classification in body size phenotypes, despite being widely used, does not allow for quantitative evaluation of the cardiometabolic abnormalities. In this way, a patient with a value just above the cut-off point (e.g., blood pressure of 140/90 mmHg) will be classified in the same group as a patient with a very high value (e.g., blood pressure 180/100 mmHg). However, this is the case for other classification methods, such as the metabolic syndrome, which serve primarily for identifying patients at risk for adverse effects and do not dictate medical interventions.²⁵

In conclusion, within its limitations, this study identified a number of different body-size phenotypes among RA patients. One in 4 normal-weight patients has metabolic complications, while >40% of obese RA patients are metabolically healthy. Old age, waist circumference and smoking cessation are significant predictors for metabolic complications among the various body-sizes, whereas (current) inflammation or physical dysfunction do not appear to be as important. Yet, the underlying mechanisms by which these or other factors influence metabolism in RA are far from clear and require further investigation. Further research focusing on specific adipose depots and their metabolic properties should be conducted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the Dudley Group of Hospitals R&D directorate cardiovascular programme grant and a Wolverhampton University equipment grant. The Department of Rheumatology has an infrastructure support grant from the Arthritis Research Campaign (number: 17682).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Wildman RP, Muntner P, Reynolds K, McGinn AP, Rajpathak S, Wylie-Rosett J, et al. The obese without cardiometabolic risk factor clustering and the normal weight with cardiometabolic risk factor clustering: prevalence and correlates of 2 phenotypes among the US population (NHANES 1999-2004). *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:1617-24.
2. Karelis AD, St-Pierre DH, Conus F, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Poehlman ET. Metabolic and body composition factors in subgroups of obesity: what do we know? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004;89:2569-75.
3. Sims EA. Are there persons who are obese, but metabolically healthy? *Metabolism* 2001;50:1499-1504.
4. Ruderman N, Schneider S, Berchtold P. The "metabolically-obese," normal-weight individual. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981;34:1617-21.
5. Ruderman NB, Berchtold P, Schneider SH. Obesity-associated disorders in normal-weight individuals: some speculations. *Int J Obes* 1982;6:151-7.
6. Kitas GD, Erb N. Tackling ischaemic heart disease in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2003;42:607-13.
7. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Koutedakis Y, Nevill AM, Douglas KM, Jamurtas A, et al. Redefining overweight and obesity in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:1316-21.
8. Westhoff G, Rau R, Zink A. Radiographic joint damage in early rheumatoid arthritis is highly dependent on body mass index. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56:3575-82.
9. van der Helm-van Mil AH, van der Kooij SM, Allaart CF, Toes RE, Huizinga TW. A high body mass index is protective on the amount of joint destruction in small joints in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67:769-74.
10. Escalante A, Haas RW, del Rincon I. Paradoxical effect of body mass index on survival in rheumatoid arthritis: role of comorbidity and systemic inflammation. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:1624-9.
11. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Panoulas VF, Nevill AM, Jamurtas AZ, Koutedakis Y, et al. Underweight and obese states both associate with worse disease activity and physical function in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2009;28:439-44.
12. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Panoulas VF, Douglas KM, Nevill AM, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Associations of obesity with modifiable risk factors for the development of cardiovascular disease in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:242-5.
13. Garcia-Poma A, Segami MI, Mora CS, Ugarte MF, Terrazas HN, Rhor EA, et al. Obesity is independently associated with impaired quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:1831-5.
14. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Koutedakis Y, Kitas GD. Obesity in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2011;50:450-62.
15. Panoulas VF, Douglas KM, Milionis HJ, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou

- A, Nightingale P, Kita MD, et al. Prevalence and associations of hypertension and its control in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2007;46:1477-82.
16. Lean MEJ, Han TS, Morrison CE. Waist circumference as a measure for indicating need for weight management. *BMJ* 1995;311:158-61.
17. Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA, Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL. Modified disease activity scores that include twenty-eight-joint counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:44-8.
18. Kirwan JR, Reeback JS. Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire modified to assess disability in British patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1986;25:206-9.
19. Radikova Z. Assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance in epidemiological studies. *Endocr Regul* 2003;37:189-94.
20. Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G, et al. Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index: A Simple, Accurate Method for Assessing Insulin Sensitivity In Humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:2402-10.
21. Kramer CK, Zinman B, Retnakaran R. Are Metabolically Healthy Overweight and Obesity Benign Conditions? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2013;159:758-69.
22. Zheng R, Zhou D, Zhu Y. The long-term prognosis of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality for metabolically healthy obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2016;jech-2015.
23. Roubenoff R. Rheumatoid cachexia: a complication of rheumatoid arthritis moves into the 21st century. *Arthritis research & therapy* 2009;11:108.
24. Summers GD, Metsios GS, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Kitas GD. Rheumatoid cachexia and cardiovascular disease. *Nature reviews* 2010;6:445-51.
25. Grundy SM, Brewer HB, Cleeman JI, Smith SC, Lenfant C, for the Conference P. Definition of Metabolic Syndrome. *Circulation* 2004;109:433-8.
26. Derdemezis CS, Voulgari PV, Drosos AA, Kiortsis DN. Obesity, adipose tissue and rheumatoid arthritis: coincidence or more complex relationship? *Clinical and experimental rheumatology* 2011;29:712-27.
27. Freedland ES. Role of a critical visceral adipose tissue threshold (CVATT) in metabolic syndrome: implications for controlling dietary carbohydrates: a review. *Nutr Metab (Lond)* 2004;1:12.
28. Bhagat K, Vallance P. Inflammatory Cytokines Impair Endothelium-Dependent Dilatation in Human Veins In Vivo. *Circulation* 1997;96:3042-7.
29. Alexander RW. Hypertension and the Pathogenesis of Atherosclerosis: Oxidative Stress and the Mediation of Arterial Inflammatory Response: A New Perspective. *Hypertension* 1995;25:155-61.
30. Rizzoni D. Endothelial dysfunction in hypertension: fact or fantasy? *J Hypertens* 2002;20:1479-81.
31. Ross R. Atherosclerosis -- An Inflammatory Disease. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:115-26.
32. Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Panoulas VF, Douglas KM, Nevill AM, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Cigarette smoking associates with body weight and muscle mass of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional, observational study. *Arthritis research & therapy* 2008;10:R59.
33. Barbieri M, Gambardella A, Paolisso G, Varricchio M. Metabolic aspects of the extreme longevity. *Experimental gerontology* 2008;43:74-8.
34. Barbieri M, Rizzo MR, Manzella D, Paolisso G. Age-related insulin resistance: is it an obligatory finding? The lesson from healthy centenarians. *Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews* 2001;17:19-26.
35. Dimitroulas T, Sandoo A, van Zanten JV, Smith JP, Hodson J, Metsios GS, et al. Predictors of asymmetric dimethylarginine levels in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the role of insulin resistance. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology* 2013;42:176-81.
36. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2013;309:71-82.